

**Central and Eastern Berkshire**

**Joint Minerals & Waste Plan**

# **Schedule of Proposed Additional Modifications**

Examination Library Reference: MD04

1 December 2021



[www.rbwm.gov.uk](http://www.rbwm.gov.uk)



**WOKINGHAM  
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Prepared by Hampshire Services**  
Hampshire County Council  
[www.hants.gov.uk/sharedexpertise](http://www.hants.gov.uk/sharedexpertise)



© Crown copyright and database rights  
2019 Ordnance Survey 100018817  
Derived from 1:50000 scale BGS  
Digital Data under  
Licence 2011/049F, British Geological  
Survey ©NERC

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	1
2. Schedule of proposed Additional Modifications .....	2

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Bracknell Forest Council, Reading Borough Council, the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead, and Wokingham Borough Council (collectively referred to as the 'Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities') are working in partnership to produce a Joint Minerals and Waste Plan (JMWP/ 'the Plan') which will guide minerals and waste decision-making in the Plan area.
- 1.2 This document sets out additional (minor) (AM) modifications to be applied to the Submission version of the Plan. Proposed modifications were discussed at the examination hearings 28-30 September 2021 and 12 October 2021.
- 1.3 Modifications are presented in the following ways;
  - deleted text is struck through i.e. ~~deleted~~; and
  - new text is shown as bold and underlined i.e. **new text**.
- 1.4 The proposed Additional Modifications are not subject to consultation and are for information only.
- 1.5 Where relevant, reference has been made to updated evidence base documents which are available on the Examination Library. However, this is for information purposes and the documents are not subject to consultation.

## 2. Schedule of proposed Additional Modifications

Text to be inserted is shown **underlined**.

Text to be deleted is shown ~~struck through~~.

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
AM1	Policy DM1 / 5.4	20	<p>Monitor all minerals and waste development proportionate to its potential risk and take appropriate compliance measures, including enforcement action when unauthorised development takes place (<b><u>in line with local monitoring and enforcement policies<sup>xx</sup></u></b>); and,</p> <p><b><u><sup>xx</sup> Bracknell Forest Council Local Enforcement Plan - <a href="https://www.bracknell-forest.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning/planning-enforcement-and-breaches">https://www.bracknell-forest.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning/planning-enforcement-and-breaches</a></u></b></p> <p><b><u>Reading Borough Council Local Planning Enforcement Plan - <a href="https://www.reading.gov.uk/planning/planning-enforcement/">https://www.reading.gov.uk/planning/planning-enforcement/</a></u></b></p> <p><b><u>Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead Planning Enforcement Policy - <a href="https://www.rbwm.gov.uk/home/planning/request-enforcement-investigation">https://www.rbwm.gov.uk/home/planning/request-enforcement-investigation</a></u></b></p> <p><b><u>Wokingham Borough Council Local Planning Enforcement Plan - <a href="https://www.wokingham.gov.uk/planning/planning-enforcement/">https://www.wokingham.gov.uk/planning/planning-enforcement/</a></u></b></p>	To clarify where policy on monitoring and enforcement can be found.
AM2	Policy DM2 / 5.10 & 5.11	22/23	[Repeat of numbering 5.10 / 5.11 on page 22 and 23.]	Typo
AM3	Policy DM3 / 5.18	25	The Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities will provide net gain for biodiversity as a result of development and will give regard to the implications of climate change to ensure that habitats are sufficiently protected and	Update of biodiversity metric.

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			<p>enhanced to support resilience to such changes, such as the creation of coherent ecological networks. Net gain will be measured using appropriate metrics such as Defra <b>Natural England</b>'s proposed biodiversity metric<sup>33</sup>.</p> <p><sup>33</sup> Net Gain consultation proposals (Defra, December 2018) – <a href="https://consult.defra.gov.uk/land-use/netgain/supporting_documents/netgainconsultationdocument.pdf">https://consult.defra.gov.uk/land-use/netgain/supporting_documents/netgainconsultationdocument.pdf</a> <b>The Biodiversity Metric 3.0: <a href="http://nepubprod.appspot.com/publication/6049804846366720">http://nepubprod.appspot.com/publication/6049804846366720</a></b></p>	
AM4	Policy DM3 / 5.31	29	<p><del>As the proposed net gain biodiversity metric is developed, the</del><b>The</b> Central &amp; Eastern Berkshire Authorities will take a consistent approach to its <b>the</b> application <b>of the Biodiversity Metric</b> in ensuring biodiversity net gain through minerals and waste development and in monitoring the performance of this policy. <b><u>Relevant guidance should also be applied, where available.</u></b></p>	Update of biodiversity metric and recognition of future guidance on applying the Metric to minerals developments.
AM5	Policy DM4 / New Para.	32	<p>Insertion of new Para. 5.39</p> <p><b><u>Landscape Character Assessments (LCA) can be used to assess the impact of minerals and waste development both inside and outside of designated areas. Consideration should be given to the LCAs of the Central and Eastern Berkshire Authorities, adjoining AONBs and to relevant National Character Areas<sup>xx</sup>.</u></b></p> <p><sup>xx</sup><b>National Character Areas - <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-character-area-profiles-data-for-local-decision-making/national-character-area-profiles">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-character-area-profiles-data-for-local-decision-making/national-character-area-profiles</a></b></p>	Additional guidance on application of LCAs.
AM6	Policy DM6 / 5.53	35	<p>When considering any planning application, the planning authority will ensure that substantial weight is given to protection of the Green Belt. 'Very special circumstances' will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by</p>	To clarify how DM6(2) relates to 'very special circumstances'.

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			other considerations. <b><u>DM6 (2) outlines the considerations which would need to be demonstrated in these circumstances.</u></b>	
AM7	Policy DM6 / 5.55	36	<p>National planning policy<sup>44</sup> states that minerals extraction, engineering operations and the re-use of buildings provided that the buildings are of permanent and substantial construction are not inappropriate development in the Green Belt provided that they preserve the openness of the Green Belt and proposals do not conflict with the purpose of including land in the Green Belt. <b><u>Other exceptions include the re-use of buildings which could be relevant to waste proposals in the Green Belt<sup>xx</sup>. Consideration will also be given to the proposed duration of the development and the vehicle movements likely to be generated<sup>xx</sup>.</u></b></p> <p><sup>xx</sup><b><u>National Planning Policy Framework (Para. 150).</u></b></p> <p><sup>xx</sup><b><u>Planning Practice Guidance (Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 64-001-20190722) - <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/green-belt">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/green-belt</a></u></b></p>	<p>The temporary nature of mineral extraction was raised by Claremont Planning Consultancy at the Reg 19.</p> <p>The additional text is to clarify that other exceptions could be relevant and the duration of the development and the likely activity on site would need to be taken into consideration, as per Planning Practice Guidance.</p>
AM8	Policy DM7 / 5.61	38	<p>Minerals and waste development can play a positive role in protecting heritage assets and their settings, but it is also recognised that many developments can have an adverse impact, whether damaging or in the case of extraction on archaeology, more fully destructive. Where the public benefits of development outweigh the significance of the heritage assets, <del>archaeological</del> recording can mitigate the effect by making the results of archaeological excavations <u>s</u> and</p>	<p>Additional text to clarify mitigation for wider heritage assets.</p>

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			<b>heritage asset studies</b> study available, through the Historic Environmental Record and other public arenas, where appropriate, as a public good.	
AM9	Policy DM7 / 5.62	38	The historic environment covers all aspects of the environment resulting from the interaction between people and places through time, including all surviving physical remains of past human activity, whether visible, buried or submerged as well as <b>historic and designed</b> landscaped and planted or managed flora.	Additional wording to clarify consideration of historic landscape.
AM10	Policy DM7 / 5.67	40	Mitigation measures should include archaeological recording, <b>and recording of other heritage assets</b> , during and prior to development, and changes to the development to ensure the preservation, provision within post extraction restoration, screening, and protection of retained heritage assets.	Additional wording to clarify importance of other heritage assets.
AM11	Policy DM8 / 5.76	42	Consideration needs to be given to the following factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Type, quality and value of the land prior to extraction (for example, agricultural land);</li> <li>• Presence of important habitats and species prior to development on site and in the local environment;</li> <li>• Local ecological networks including green/blue corridors;</li> <li>• Existing hydrological regime;</li> <li>• <b><u>Ensuring flood risk is not increased from the pre-development scenario and where possible provide betterment through appropriate land management and SuDS in accordance with Policy DM10</u></b></li> <li>• Underlying geology;</li> <li>• Local topography and landscape character/setting;</li> <li>• Presence of important archaeological features and historic context;</li> <li>• Proximity of urban areas and aerodromes;</li> <li>• Compatibility with surrounding land uses;</li> </ul>	Additional text to cross-reference DM10 (Flood Risk) in relation to restoration.

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Availability of fill material;</li> <li>• Planning policy framework and guidance;</li> <li>• Landowner / site operator aspirations;</li> <li>• Views of local community and other stakeholders;</li> <li>• Transport issues;</li> <li>• Public safety;</li> <li>• Long-term management considerations; and</li> <li>• Financial considerations</li> </ul>	
AM12	Policy DM8 / New Para	42	<p>New Para. 5.78:</p> <p><b><u>Restoration and aftercare schemes should provide comprehensive details of the following:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Order and timings of phasing of minerals and landfill workings;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>How the scheme contributes to the local environment (for example biodiversity, landscape and historic environment), as appropriate;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Restoration plans including considerations of key issues such as aerodrome safeguarding, soil handling, biodiversity, landscape impact, extant archaeology, flood risk, hydrology, as appropriate;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Details of the importation of other materials which may be required to facilitate restoration (e.g. inert wastes), as appropriate;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Plans for the final after-uses of the site; and</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Plans for the long-term aftercare and maintenance of the site.</u></b></li> </ul>	To provide sufficient clarity in the policy supporting text on what restoration information is required.
AM13	Policy DM8 / 5.82	43	<p>Restoration and aftercare plans should take into consideration community needs and aspirations. <b><u>Neighbourhood Plans should be considered and fora, such as liaison panels, can help to facilitate discussions.</u></b> Local interest groups such as Catchment Partnerships and community representatives should be consulted, and their viewpoints incorporated into the proposals wherever possible and appropriate. Developers should work with the</p>	To provide additional clarity on mechanisms for taking account of community needs and aspirations.

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			<p>Colne Valley Regional Park and relevant Local Authorities to secure an enhanced bridleway/footpath network <del>in line with the Joint Connectivity Statement<sup>47</sup></del>. Regard should also be given to the green infrastructure policies and strategies of relevant local planning authorities and the Colne Valley Regional Park<sup>48</sup>. Restoration and aftercare plans for mineral development need to be reviewed and updated periodically, in accordance with legislation.</p> <p><del><sup>47</sup>Joint Connectivity Statement between the Colne Valley Regional Park, Slough Borough Council, RBWM and the Buckinghamshire authorities.</del></p>	Removal of Joint Connectivity Statement as no longer relevant.
AM14	Policy DM8 / 5.83	44	<p>A Restoration Study<sup>49</sup>, which accompanies this Plan, provides greater detail and guidance on after-use, aftercare and restoration <b><u>options, opportunities and requirements</u></b>. The study and any subsequent restoration strategies or guidance adopted by the authorities should be read in conjunction with this policy and referenced, where appropriate.</p>	To further clarify the options or strategies to be considered by developers and operators.
AM15	Policy DM9 / 5.90	46	<p>Minerals and waste development and associated traffic movements can give rise to air pollutants that adversely impact human health and sensitive environmental receptors. This can include sulphur oxides (SOx), nitrogen oxides (NOx) and carbon particulates (e.g. PM10). HGV traffic can extend these air quality impacts significantly beyond development sites and into adjacent local authority areas. Local authorities review and assess air quality on a regular basis<sup>50</sup>, against a set of Air Quality Objectives (AQOs)<sup>51</sup>. Local authorities are required to declare as Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)<sup>52</sup> where AQOs are exceeded. Central and Eastern Berkshire and adjacent authorities have AQMAs delineated for parts of their areas for which Air Quality Action Plans (AQAP) have been prepared. AQAPs are often integrated with Local Transport Plans (LTP). AQMAs will need to be considered when making any decisions on routing. <b><u>This will include the</u></b></p>	The additional text is to clarify that AQMAs in adjacent planning areas will be taken into account as raised by Slough Borough Council and outlined in the Statement of Common Ground.

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			<b><u>potential for impacts of lorry movements on AQMAs in adjacent local planning authority areas.</u></b>	
AM16	Policy DM9 / 5.94	47	<b><u>The Central and Eastern Berkshire Authorities are committed to ensuring that minerals and waste development takes place in conformity with the planning permissions granted. If a minerals or waste development is not being operated in accordance with the planning permission, or associated agreed schemes, the relevant Authority will take the necessary steps to ensure compliance, where it is expedient to do so. This may include taking enforcement action to ensure that any breach of planning permission is rectified.</u></b> It is expected, where relevant, that other regulatory bodies or functions (such as the Environment Agency, Health and Safety Executive or Environmental Health) will ensure that the impacts within their remit will be satisfactorily addressed.	Additional text to clarify the monitoring and enforcement approach by the Authorities as raised by Wraysbury Parish Council in their Regulation 19 response.
AM17	Policy DM10 / 5.101	49	Proposals in identified areas of flood risk will need to demonstrate that the development of the site will be safe and not result in increased flood risk. Such developments will require the Sequential Test and, where appropriate the Exception Test, to be carried out together with site specific Flood Risk Assessments. Where a flood risk is identified, development should only occur where the Exceptions Test in national guidance has been met. A development without a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA), where one is required, will not be supported. <b><u>Reference should be made to Tables 1 to 3 within the Planning Practice Guidance<sup>xx</sup> to determine which development are acceptable or not in each Flood Zone.</u></b>	Additional wording to clarify that reference needs to be made to Tables 1 to 3 in the Planning Practice Guidance as raised by the Environment Agency in their Reg 19 response.

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			<u><sup>xx</sup> Planning Practice Guidance (Paragraph: 065 Reference ID: 7-065-20140306, Paragraph: 066 Reference ID: 7-066-20140306, Paragraph: 067 Reference ID: 7-067-20140306) - <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change</a></u>	
AM18	Policy DM11 / 5.108	50/51	Planning applications should be supported by a Hydrological Risk Assessment which evaluates the impact on surface and groundwater from the proposed operations. A management scheme will need to be agreed for the construction, operation and restoration phases of development. <b><u>Sites which are adjacent to, or include, a main river will also require an environmental permit.</u></b>	Additional wording to clarify the need for an environmental permit when sites are located adjacent to or include a main river as raised by the Environment Agency in their Reg 19 response.
AM19	Policy DM11 / 5.112	51	All minerals and waste proposals must include measures to ensure the achievement of both no deterioration and improved ecological status of all waterbodies within the site and/or hydrologically connected to the site. Where relevant a Hydrogeological Risk Assessment will be required to demonstrate the effects of the proposed development on the groundwater environment and how these may be mitigated to an acceptable level. <b><u>This is in line with N7 'Hydrogeological Risk Assessment' of The Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection<sup>xx</sup></u></b> . Such assessments should include a consideration of impacts on near-by abstraction licences; risk to the principal aquifer; cumulative impacts of the neighbouring quarry sites; groundwater quality in relation to impacts on neighbouring potable abstractions and adjacent waste sites; and monitoring.  <u><sup>xx</sup>The Environment Agency's guidance to groundwater protection (Environment Agency, Feb 2018) -</u>	

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification			Justification
			<a href="https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/692989/Environment-Agency-approach-to-groundwater-protection.pdf">https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/692989/Environment-Agency-approach-to-groundwater-protection.pdf</a>			
AM20	Policy DM11 / 5.119	54	Road safety and capacity are issues of paramount importance. <b>National Highways England</b> is responsible for considering assessments of the transport impacts of minerals or waste development on the Strategic Road Network. The Highways authorities, including the Central and Eastern Berkshire Authorities, are responsible for considering assessments of the transport impacts on the local highway network. In addition to potential capacity congestions, and safety impacts along the highway network, the potential and perceived impact of transportation on amenity may include vibration, visual intrusion and impacts on air quality. It is therefore beneficial for mineral and waste development to be located either close to the Strategic Road Network, or where there is potential for the sustainable movement of materials and/or where operational road miles can be minimised.			Update in agency name following rebranding in August 2021.
AM21	Policy DM11 / 5.126	56	<b>Monitoring Issue</b>	<b>Monitoring Indicator</b>	<b>(Threshold) for Policy Review</b>	Update in agency name following rebranding in August 2021.
			Transport impacts.	Planning permissions against <b>National Highways England</b> advice	Number of planning permissions against <b>National Highways England</b> advice > 0	
				Planning permissions against Local Highway Authority advice	Number of planning permissions against Local Highway Authority advice > 0	

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
AM22	Policy M2 / 6.41	73	<p>Developers are responsible for preparing a Mineral Resource Assessment which will need to assess the actual or potential commercial value of the underlying mineral deposit. <b><u>In preparing the Mineral Resource Assessment, consideration should be given to available guidance such as the Minerals Safeguarding Guidance prepared by the Minerals Products Association and Planning Officers Society<sup>xx</sup></u></b>. The developer should determine the type, depth and quality of sand and gravel deposits within the site. In order to demonstrate that prior extraction has been fully considered, the developer must undertake an assessment of the practicality of prior extraction, either for use in the development itself or elsewhere.</p> <p><sup>xx</sup><b><u>Mineral Safeguarding Guidance (MPA/POS, April 2019)</u></b>  <a href="https://www.mineralproducts.org/Publications/Resource-Use.aspx">https://www.mineralproducts.org/Publications/Resource-Use.aspx</a></p>	Additional text to provide further guidance on preparing a Mineral Resource Assessment.
AM23	Policy M2 / 6.42	73	<p>In reviewing the potential for prior extraction developers should consider whether the extraction of part of the sand and gravel deposit within the site can be undertaken in terms of <b><u>feasibility and viability</u></b>, even if removal of the entire deposit appears impractical. This might apply, for example, in a case – perhaps on a site close to land liable to flood where the removal of the upper levels of the deposit could be undertaken, whereas the removal of the entire deposit would render the land unsuitable without the importation of inert material to raise the ground level above flood levels. <b><u>Consideration should also be given to on-site use of the material or local operators that could extract and process the material.</u></b></p>	Additional text to provide further guidance on preparing a Mineral Resource Assessment.
AM24	Policy M2 / 6.44	73	<p>Alternatively, the policy includes provision for temporary developments and can allow specific projects of demonstrable overriding importance in the Central &amp; Eastern Berkshire Authorities' Local Plans to proceed. <b><u>These are defined as being those that are required to ensure the delivery of Local Plans (such</u></b></p>	Additional text to clarify what is meant by 'specific projects of

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification	Justification
			<b><u>as housing development to meet the 5-year housing land supply) or national significant infrastructure projects.</u></b>	demonstrable overriding importance'
AM25	Policy M2 / 6.47	74	A list of safeguarded sites <b><u>including quarries</u></b> (operational and planned) is outlined in Appendix E, <del>and</del> <b><u>It</u></b> will be maintained by the Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities <b><u>and reported in the Monitoring Report.</u></b> This will be updated as permissions are granted, and sites are completed and no longer require safeguarding.	Text to clarify where the update to Appendix E will be reported.
AM26	Policy M3	76	[Policy box requires realignment with page]	Typo
AM27	Policy M7 / 6.126	90	The Kennet & Avon Canal which joins Bristol and Reading via Newbury is a small waterway and is not considered to have significant potential for freight movement <sup>83</sup> . It is currently unknown whether the River Thames is suitable for freight from Windsor Bridge to Staines Bridge although large barges are able to use this waterway <sup>84</sup> . <del>However, this may be limited as the river is non-tidal from Teddington Lock.</del>	Removal of statement due to clarification by Environment Agency that being non-tidal is not an issue for freight as raised in their Reg 19 response.
AM28	Policy M8 / 6.136	93	Any existing or planned mineral operation including rail depot or wharf will be automatically safeguarded, <del>and a</del> <b><u>A</u></b> list of safeguarded sites will be maintained by the Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities <b><u>and reported in the Monitoring Report.</u></b> Safeguarded minerals sites will be shown on the Minerals and Waste Safeguarding Area and associated Consultation Area.	Text to clarify where the update to Appendix E will be reported.
AM29	Policy W4 / 7.117	117	and also provide opportunities to host appropriate waste management development, particularly within major areas of development <del>such as at</del>	Removing reference to Grazeley, as through

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification		Justification
			<del>Grazeley, a possible Garden Settlement which includes land in Wokingham and Reading.</del>		further work on the Wokingham development plan, Grazeley has been found to no longer be a deliverable site for a garden settlement.
AM30	Appendix B / Category 4	141	Appropriate locations for these activities (including site requirements)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Large scale processing operations can take place in a range of buildings and at different locations. Preference should be given to industrial or degraded sites or sites on or close to existing waste management facilities.</li> <li>• <del>B1</del> B2 and B8 use class designations may potentially be acceptable.</li> <li>• Sites need to be suitable for use by HGVs.</li> <li>• Consideration should be given to the potential for co-location with rail or barge transfer operations.</li> </ul>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification		Justification
AM31	Appendix C	152	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Western Employment Area</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Location	Western Road, Bracknell, RG12 1RE	
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4 <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B8	
AM32	Appendix C	154	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Eastern Employment Area</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4 <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B8	
AM33	Appendix C	158	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>North of Basingstoke Road</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B1 (G) <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 & B8	
AM34	Appendix C	159	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Elgar Road</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B1 (G) <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 & B8	
AM35	Appendix C	160	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Portman Road / Deacon Way Area</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification		Justification
			Location	Portman Road, Reading, RG30 1EA / Deacon Way, Reading, RG30 6AZ	
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4 <u>E(g)(iii)</u> & / B8 & B8	
AM36	Appendix C	163	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>South of Basingstoke Road</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Location	Whitley	
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4 (C) <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 / & B8	
AM37	Appendix C	170	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Molly Millars Lane Area (excluding Fishponds Business Park and Mulberry Business Park)</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Location	Molly Millars Lane, Wokingham, RG14 2RT	
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4 <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 / & B8	
AM38	Appendix C	171	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Suttons Industrial Park</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Location	Earley, Reading, RG6 1AZ	

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification		Justification
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4 <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 / & B8	
AM39	Appendix C	172	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Hogwood Lane Business Area (parts)</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Location	Wokingham	
			Current use (specify class classification)	B1(e) <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2	
AM40	Appendix C	173	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Headley Road Industrial Estate</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B1 (C) <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 / & B8	
AM41	Appendix C	174	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Headley Park</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B1 (C) <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 / & B8	
AM42	Appendix C	175	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Rushcombe Business Park (parts)</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4e <u>E(g)(iii)</u> / B2 / & B8	

Ref.	Policy / Para.	Page	Proposed modification		Justification
AM43	Appendix C	176	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Nine Mile Ride Industrial Park</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4e <b>E(g)(iii)</b> / B2 / <b>&amp;</b> B8	
AM44	Appendix C	178	<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Cutbush Lane Business Area</b>	Update to reflect change in Use Classes (01.09.2020)
			Current use (specify class classification)	B4a / B4e <b>E(g)(iii)</b> / B8	
AM45	Glossary	201	Strategic Road Network: The SRN is made up of motorways and trunk roads, the most significant 'A' roads. The SRN is managed by <b>National</b> Highways England. All other roads in England are managed by local and regional authorities.		Update in agency name following rebranding in August 2021.